

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-26 have been examined with all claims rejected.

***Specification***

The specification has been revised to update cross-references to related applications.

***Claim Objections***

The claims have been renumbered to correct the misnumbering.

Claim 1 has been amended to replace “OPERATING” with “operating.”

***Claim Rejection - 35 USC 112***

Claim 14 has been rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite because it depends on itself. Claim 14 has therefore been renumbered to depend on claim 1.

***Claim Rejection - 35 USC 101***

Claim 25 has been rejected under 35 USC 101 as being directed to nonstatutory subject matter. In particular, the Examiner rejects this claim as not being tangibly embodied in that it fails to include any hardware as part of the system. The Examiner asserts that the system could be implemented entirely in software. Applicant believes that the amendments to claim 25 overcome this rejection.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102***

Claims 18-25 have been rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Kodosky et al. (US Patent No 6,608,638).





In view of the above Amendments and Remarks, Applicant believes the pending application is in condition for allowance.

Dated: June 13, 2005

Respectfully submitted,

By Laura C. Brutman

Laura C. Brutman

Registration No.: 38,395

DARBY & DARBY P.C.

P.O. Box 5257

New York, New York 10150-5257

(212) 527-7700

(212) 527-7701 (Fax)

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant